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IMPORTANCE Although consensus statements support the preoperative treatment of borderline resectable pancreatic cancer, no prospective, quality-controlled, multicenter studies of this strategy have been conducted. Existing studies are retrospective and confounded by heterogeneity in patients studied, therapeutic algorithms used, and outcomes reported.

OBJECTIVE To determine the feasibility of conducting studies of multimodality therapy for borderline resectable pancreatic cancer in the cooperative group setting.

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS A prospective, multicenter, single-arm trial of a multimodality treatment regimen administered within a study framework using centralized quality control with the cooperation of 14 member institutions of the National Clinical Trials Network. Twenty-nine patients with biopsy-confirmed pancreatic cancer preregistered, and 23 patients with tumors who met centrally reviewed radiographic criteria registered. Twenty-two patients initiated therapy (median age, 64 years [range, 50-76 years]; 55% female). Patients registered between May 29, 2013, and February 7, 2014.

INTERVENTIONS Patients received modified FOLFIRINOX treatment (85 mg/m² of oxaliplatin, 180 mg/m² of irinotecan hydrochloride, 400 mg/m² of leucovorin calcium, and then 2400 mg/m² of 5-fluorouracil for 4 cycles) followed by 5.5 weeks of external-beam radiation (50.4 Gy delivered in 28 daily fractions) with capecitabine (825 mg/m² orally twice daily) prior to pancreatectomy.

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES Feasibility, defined by the accrual rate, the safety of the preoperative regimen, and the pancreatectomy rate.

RESULTS The accrual rate of 2.6 patients per month was superior to the anticipated rate. Although 14 of the 22 patients (64% [95% CI, 41%-83%]) had grade 3 or higher adverse events, 15 of the 22 patients (68% [95% CI, 49%-88%]) underwent pancreatectomy. Of these 15 patients, 12 (80%) required vascular resection, 14 (93%) had microscopically negative margins, 5 (33%) had specimens that had less than 5% residual cancer cells, and 2 (13%) had specimens that had pathologic complete responses. The median overall survival of all patients was 21.7 months (95% CI, 15.7 to not reached) from registration.

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE The successful completion of this collaborative study demonstrates the feasibility of conducting quality-controlled trials for this disease stage in the multi-institutional setting. The data generated by this study and the logistical elements that facilitated the trial’s completion are currently being used to develop cooperative group trials with the goal of improving outcomes for this subset of patients.
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Borderline resectable pancreatic ductal adenocarcinomas (PDACs) approximate major mesenteric blood vessels. Although radiographically localized, they are often associated with subclinical metastases. Following resection, cancer is found at the margins in 36% to 64% of cases, and the median duration of survival is shorter than 14 months, even when concomitant vascular resection is performed. Preoperative chemotherapy and chemoradiation are therefore often recommended in an attempt to eradicate occult systemic disease, facilitate margin-negative (RO) resection, maximize overall survival, and spare patients with evolving metastases otherwise futile surgery.

Unfortunately, no high-level data support this practice. Furthermore, it has been historically difficult to conduct high-quality studies of preoperative therapy for patients with advanced PDAC; the only prospective, multi-institutional trial to evaluate this approach, the Eastern Cooperative Group Trial 1200, accrued poorly and closed prematurely. Treatment guidelines are therefore based on small, retrospective series confounded by heterogeneity in staging criteria, in the metrics used to characterize response to therapy, and in the criteria used to determine the role of pancreatectomy that, together, have led to an imperfect understanding of the role of preoperative therapy for patients with advanced PDAC. The reported ability of conventional cytotoxic regimens to “downstage” advanced cancers to resectable ones likely represents one direct artifact of this heterogeneity.

Recognizing the importance and absence of prospective data in this setting, the Alliance for Clinical Trials in Oncology, collaborating with the Southwest Oncology Group, NRG Oncology, and the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group–American College of Radiology Imaging Network, sought to initiate quality-controlled, cooperative group trials of preoperative therapy for patients with borderline resectable PDAC. However, given the historical context, the National Cancer Institute (NCI) mandated this initial pilot study to demonstrate feasibility and to provide prospective outcomes data with which to inform subsequent trials.

Methods

Eligibility

This study was available to selected Alliance for Clinical Trials in Oncology, Southwest Oncology Group, NRG Oncology, and Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group–American College of Radiology Imaging Network institutions that performed at least 20 pancreatectomies yearly and had experience with vascular resections (Study Protocol in Supplement 1). Registration was accomplished in 2 phases. Eligibility criteria were confirmed during a preregistration phase and included being 18 years of age or older, an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of 0 or 1, proof of adenocarcinoma of the pancreatic head, no remote lymphadenopathy or distant metastases, and a computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) study of the abdomen using a pancreatic protocol and CT or MRI of the chest demonstrating a primary tumor characterized by 1 or more of the following relationships (intergroup criteria): (1) a tumor-vessel interface (TVI) with the superior mesenteric vein (SMV) or portal vein (PV) measuring 180° or more of the circumference of either vein’s wall, or short-segment occlusion of either vein with a normal vein above and below the obstruction amenable to reconstruction; (2) any TVI with the common hepatic artery (CHA) with a normal artery proximal and distal to the TVI amenable to reconstruction; and (3) a TVI with the superior mesenteric artery (SMA) measuring less than 180° of the circumference of the vessel wall.

Tumors with an interface with the SMV and PV measuring less than 180° and without an interface with either the CHA or the SMA—considered borderline resectable by other guidelines—were considered resectable. A TVI with the SMV, PV, or CHA but without a normal vessel proximal and distal to the interface to allow reconstruction, a TVI with the SMA measuring 180° or more of that vessel’s circumference, and a TVI with the aorta were considered to represent locally advanced disease. Patients with resectable or locally advanced cancers were ineligible.

Final registration required confirmation of disease stage with central review of all radiologic images and multidisciplinary evaluation of the patient by a medical oncologist, radiation oncologist, and surgeon. Additional criteria included a granulocyte level of 2000/μL or greater, a hemoglobin level of greater than 9 g/dL [to convert to grams per liter, multiply by 10], a platelet count of 100 × 10^9/μL or greater [to convert to ×10^9 per liter, multiply by 1.0], an albumin level of greater than 3.0 g/dL [to convert to grams per liter, multiply by 10], a creatinine level 1.5 times or less than the upper limit of normal, aspartate transaminase and alanine transaminase levels 2.5 times or less than the upper limit of normal, and a bilirubin level of 2 mg/dL or less [to convert to micromoles per liter, multiply by 17.104]. Exclusion criteria included a peripheral neuropathy grade of 2 or higher, prior therapy for PDAC, Gilbert syndrome or homozygosity for UGT1A1*2, and any active second malignant tumor.

All patients provided written informed consent. The institutional review board at each participating institution approved the trial.

Treatment Plan

Preoperative Treatment

Modified FOLFIRINOX (85 mg/m² of oxaliplatin, 180 mg/m² of irinotecan hydrochloride, 400 mg/m² of leucovorin calcium, and...
then 2400 mg/m² of 5-fluorouracil for 4 cycles; RECIST, Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors.

FOLFIRINOX treatment, the patient’s tumors were restaged by use of CT or MRI, and those patients without evidence of residual or recurrent disease on CT or MRI scans were considered for 2 cycles of postoperative gemcitabine hydrochloride (1000 mg/m² intravenously on days 1, 8, and 15 every 28 days).

**Table 1. Demographic and Clinical/Radiologic Characteristics of 22 Patients at Study Entry**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Patients, No. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, median (range), y</td>
<td>64 (50-76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>10 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>12 (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>21 (95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECOG performance status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>14 (64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8 (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum CA 19-9, median (range), U/mL</td>
<td>122 (0-910)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor diameter, median (range), mm</td>
<td>30 (16-49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiographic tumor-vessel interfacea</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vein onlyb</td>
<td>6 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥180°</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥180°c</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artery onlyc</td>
<td>3 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;180°d</td>
<td>3 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥180°e</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artery and veinf,c</td>
<td>13 (59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artery &lt;180°, vein &lt;180°</td>
<td>6 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artery &lt;180°, vein ≥180°</td>
<td>6 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artery ≥180°, vein &lt;180°</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; CA 19-9, carbohydrate antigen.

Postoperative Treatment

Patients with a performance status of 0 or 1 and without evidence of residual or recurrent disease on CT or MRI scans were considered for 2 cycles of postoperative gemcitabine hydrochloride (1000 mg/m² intravenously on days 1, 8, and 15 every 28 days).

**Assessment**

Radiologic response and progression were evaluated using the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors version 1.1 guidelines. Analysis of the surgical specimen was performed following recommendations of the American Joint Commission on Cancer.

Surgical Resection

Following chemoradiation, the patient’s tumors were restaged by use of CT or MRI, and those patients without loco-regionally advanced or metastatic disease determined based on immediate central radiologic review and who had a performance status of 0 or 1 were required to undergo surgery within 4 to 10 weeks. The following surgical procedures were mandated: (1) skeletonization of the right lateral aspect of the SMA; (2) venous and/or hepatic arterial resection when necessary to achieve negative margins; and (3) evaluation of the histopathologic status of the pancreatic and bile duct margins intraoperatively, with re-resection when appropriate.
Adverse events were graded using the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events version 4.0. Adverse events were recorded from enrollment to 30 days following the end of therapy.

Patients were followed up every 4 months after treatment. All visits included a history and physical examination, laboratory studies, and CT or MRI of the chest and abdomen. The appearance of any lesion with characteristics of local relapse or metastatic disease was considered recurrence.

### Statistical Analysis

As mandated by the NCI, the primary objective was to demonstrate the feasibility of conducting cooperative group trials that use complex multidisciplinary treatment algorithms for patients with borderline resectable PDAC. The primary end points were (1) the accrual rate, targeted for at least 2 patients per month; (2) the safety and tolerability of the preoperative regimen, determined by the rate of grade 3 or higher treatment-related adverse events and the proportion of patients with more than 4 weeks’ treatment delay; and (3) the rate of completion of all preoperative and operative therapy, determined by the R0/R1 resection rate. At the behest of the NCI, the planned sample size was 20 patients, which would provide 82% power to detect an excessive toxicity rate of 70% (compared with 50%, which was considered reasonable in this setting) at a 1-sided significance level of 0.15. This sample size would also provide 75% power to detect an unacceptably low R0/R1 resection rate of 20% (compared with 40%, which was considered reasonable in this setting) at a 1-sided significance level of 0.08. Secondary end points included radiologic response rate (complete or partial response per the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors), histopathologic response rate (complete or partial response per histopathologic examination), times to locoregional and distant recurrence, progression-free survival, and overall survival.

Point estimates and confidence intervals were computed for binary end points. The Fisher exact test was used to compare binary end points between subgroups. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to estimate the distributions of time-to-event end points. For overall survival analyses, the registration date was the start date of the time-to-event end points. For progression-free survival analyses based on treated patients (n = 22), the registration date was the start date of the time-to-event end points, and for analyses based on resected patients (n = 15), the surgery date was the start date. The log-rank test was used to compare time-to-event end points between subgroups. Data collection and statistical analyses were conducted by the Alliance Statistics and Data Center. Data quality was ensured by review of data by the Alliance Statistics and Data Center and by the study chairperson following Alliance policies. All analyses were based on the study database frozen on February 16, 2016. All analyses were conducted using SAS version 9 (SAS Institute Inc).

### Results

#### Patients and Accrual

Fourteen institutions participated. Twenty-nine patients from the 14 institutions (range, 1-3 patients per site) preregistered,
Table 3. Summary of Survival and Disease Progression/Recurrence End Points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>End Pointa</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
<th>No. of Events</th>
<th>Event-Free Survival Rate (95% CI) At 12 mo</th>
<th>At 18 mo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall survival</td>
<td>Death from any cause</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.77 (0.62-0.97)</td>
<td>0.55 (0.37-0.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resected patients</td>
<td>Death from any cause</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.73 (0.54-1.00)</td>
<td>0.43 (0.21-0.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progression-free survival</td>
<td>Progression during preoperative treatment, recurrence after surgery, or death from any cause</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.59 (0.42-0.84)</td>
<td>0.41 (0.25-0.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resected patients</td>
<td>Progression during preoperative treatment, recurrence after surgery, or death from any cause</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.53 (0.33-0.86)</td>
<td>0.40 (0.19-0.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freedom from distant recurrence</td>
<td>Distant recurrence after resection</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.67 (0.45-1.00)</td>
<td>0.67 (0.45-1.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resected patients</td>
<td>Locoregional recurrence after resection</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.90 (0.73-1.00)</td>
<td>0.60 (0.26-1.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pancreatectomy
Fifteen patients (68% [95% CI, 49%-88%]) completed their preoperative therapy and underwent a pancreateoduodenectomy (n = 14) or a total pancreatectomy (n = 1). The median duration from completion of chemoradiation to pancreatectomy was 6.3 weeks (range, 4.1-11.1 weeks). Of these 15 patients, 11 (73%) required resection and reconstruction of the PV and/or SMV, and 1 (7%) required resection and reconstruction of both the PV and/or SMV and the CHA.

Fourteen of 15 patients (93%; 64% of the 22 patients who initiated therapy) underwent an R0 resection, and 1 of 15 patients (7%) underwent an R1 resection. Two patients who underwent an R0 resection had tumor cells at or within 1 mm of the inked SMA margin. The pathologic stage of resected specimens was ypT0NO (2 patients), ypT1NO (3 patients), ypT2NO (1 patient), ypT3NO (4 patients), ypT1N1 (1 patient), ypT2N1 (1 patient), ypT3N1 (2 patients), or ypT2N1M1 (1 patient, in whom a liver lesion was interpreted as benign based on intraoperative assessment but malignant based on final pathology). Five of 15 resected specimens (33%) had less than 5% viable cancer cells; 2 of 15 resected specimens (13%) had a pathologic complete response to preoperative therapy.

One or more grade 3 or higher adverse events, regardless of attribution, occurred in 8 patients (53%) within 30 days of a pancreatectomy (Table 2). One patient died following multiple adverse events within 90 days of surgery.

Postoperative Therapy
Ten patients initiated treatment with gemcitabine, and 9 patients completed it. Five of the 10 patients (50%) experienced at least 1 grade 3 adverse event during postoperative therapy (Table 2).

Disease Progression/Recurrence and Survival
Table 3 summarizes the time-to-event end points. The median overall survival of all 22 patients was 21.7 months (95%
A. All patients who initiated therapy

**Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier Survival Curves**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event-Free Survival, %</th>
<th>Overall Survival, mo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. at risk</td>
<td>Initiated therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 12 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R0 or R1 resection</td>
<td>100 90 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No resection</td>
<td>100 90 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5% Cancer cells</td>
<td>100 90 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥5% Cancer cells</td>
<td>100 90 80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A, The median overall survival of all 22 patients was 21.7 months (95% CI, 15.7 to 27.7 months; P = .01) (Figure 2A). Patients who underwent a pancreatectomy had a longer overall survival than patients who did not (18-month overall survival rate of 67% vs 43%; hazard ratio, 0.13 [95% CI, 0.03-0.48]; P = .001) (Figure 2B). Patients who underwent a pancreatectomy and had less than 5% cancer cells in their surgical specimen had a longer overall survival than patients who underwent a pancreatectomy and had 5% or more cancer cells (median overall survival not evaluable vs 21.7 months [95% CI, 15.9-25.2 months]; P = .001) (Figure 2C).

**Discussion**

This collaborative study met all of its primary end points: the 14 participating centers from all National Clinical Trials Network cooperative groups accrued patients ahead of schedule, the preoperative regimen was associated with manageable toxicity that did not preclude surgery, and 68% of patients underwent a pancreatectomy as planned. We have therefore demonstrated that a multidisciplinary regimen of coordinated, quality-controlled care can be administered to patients with borderline resectable PDAC in the context of a cooperative group trial. The quality-control mechanisms implemented in this trial, and the prospective data that it has generated, will be used in forthcoming intergroup investigations of novel therapeutic regimens for advanced PDAC.

Variability in both disease staging and treatment has represented barriers to the interpretation of retrospective studies of preoperative therapy for patients with advanced PDAC, and to the conduct of prospective clinical trials of this strategy. Several mechanistic elements of this study designed to minimize such heterogeneity are therefore worth emphasis. First, the criteria that we developed to define eligibility for this trial, and which have subsequently been endorsed by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network for use in all future prospective trials, are based on a reproducible, radiographic measurement of the circumferential interface between the tumor and each major mesenteric vessel. The definition avoids the ambiguity inherent in terms such as abutment, deformity, or involvement that were adopted in previously reported staging schemes, that may lead to inconsistent staging of disease, and that may contribute to an overestimation of the cytotoxic effects of preoperative therapy.

Second, we used a unique, 2-phase accrual procedure for this trial. Patients were preregistered on the basis of imaging suggestive of borderline resectable disease and the expected ability to tolerate therapy, but final registration required confirmation of disease stage by a central radiologist and evaluation by the treating surgeon, medical oncologist, and radiation oncologist. This process ensured that there was a homogeneous study population and that the entire multidisciplinary team achieved consensus on the treatment plan.

Third, a strict effort was made to prospectively standardize the performance and quality of all therapeutic modalities. Radiation plans were centrally reviewed prior to initiation of therapy. Surgical quality assurance was achieved through the selection of centers with experience in the management of pancreatic tumors that involve the mesenteric vasculature. Furthermore, both the indications for surgery following the administration of preoperative therapy and the technical approach to the dissection were mandated to minimize sur-
tical variables that might influence outcomes.\textsuperscript{22} Central review of pathology specimens was also emphasized.

The multimodality treatment regimen administered in this trial leveraged theoretical benefits associated with chemotherapy (antitumor activity on micrometastatic disease), chemoradiation (sterilization of margins), and time (selection) prior to pancreatectomy and is consistent with existing guidelines.\textsuperscript{4,5} However, because neither the value of these therapeutic components nor their optimum durations have been established, we used a basic regimen for this study that was perceived as generalizable and that would represent a foundation on which future regimens could be built. We used FOLFIRINOX based on its systemic activity but limited its duration to 4 cycles given concerns that its toxicities might prohibit the subsequent operation viewed as necessary for cure\textsuperscript{23}; gemcitabine was administered postoperatively given the perception—based on data extrapolated from the postoperative setting—that all patients must receive 6 perioperative months of chemotherapy.\textsuperscript{24} Alliance for Clinical Trials in Oncology Trial A021501, a randomized phase 2 study that has been approved by the NCI for activation in 2016 and that uses many of the design elements described herein, will provide a longer course of chemotherapy and will evaluate the role of preoperative radiation by randomizing patients to either 8 cycles of modified FOLFIRINOX or 7 cycles of modified FOLFIRINOX followed by radiation.

The findings of microscopically negative margins and less than 5% residual cancer cells in 93% and 33% of resected specimens, respectively, suggest the cytotoxic activity of the preoperative regimen, even though a radiographic partial or complete response, was observed in only 27% of treated patients. Furthermore, 80% of resected patients still required vascular resection. The apparent discrepancies between a tumor’s apparent response to therapy and the change in its size and anatomic extent are consistent with the findings of previous retrospective studies that described significant “downstaging” of PDAC as rare.\textsuperscript{10,25} Surgeons must anticipate the need for vascular resection and reconstruction during a pancreatectomy for all patients with advanced cancers, even following the administration of “aggressive” preoperative regimens.\textsuperscript{21}

Finally, the 21.7-month median duration of survival of all patients in this trial is remarkable given that the median overall survival of highly selected patients with resected PDAC who received postoperative chemotherapy in randomized phase 3 trials was under 24 months.\textsuperscript{24,26,27} This figure is consistent with data from retrospective, single-center reports of patients with advanced PDAC who were pretreated with FOLFIRINOX (eTable in the Supplement).\textsuperscript{28-33} However, these data must be taken in the context of this small, single-arm trial designed to meet feasibility, not survival, end points.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, this study is the first successful cooperative group trial to evaluate patients with borderline resectable PDAC. The accrual rate exceeded the goal rate, toxicities were manageable, and 68% of patients underwent a pancreatectomy. The data generated by this study and the logistic elements inherent in its design are being used in forthcoming cooperative group trials.
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